

PERMANENT LOK ADALAT, BENGALURU

No.2, Ground Floor, Technical Education Building, Palace Road, Bengaluru-560001

Ph: 080-22371011, Email- plabangalore@gmail.com

Visit Our Website: <https://kslsa.kar.nic.in/causelist.html>

DIARY

Date: 23.06.2021

Sl. No	Case Number	Summary of Proceedings	Next Date of Hearing
<u>ICICI BANK LIMITED 7 BOMMANAHALLI BRANCH</u>			
1	208/2019	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. Respondent is also absent. For deposit of cost of Rs.250/-, filing Affidavit and production of original documents as ultimate chance.	29.07.2021
2	213/2019	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. Respondent is also absent. For deposit of cost of Rs.250/-, filing Affidavit and production of original documents as ultimate chance.	29.07.2021
3	214/2019	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. Respondent is also absent. For deposit of cost of Rs.250/-, filing Affidavit and production of original documents as ultimate chance.	29.07.2021
4	215/2019	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. Respondent is also absent. For deposit of cost of Rs.250/-, filing Affidavit and production of original documents as ultimate chance.	29.07.2021
5	447/2019	Both the parties are absent. The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is	03.08.2021

		<p>not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
6	18/2021	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.</p> <p>Respondents-1 to 3 are absent and they did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>For Appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.</p>	28.07.2021
<u>INDIAN OVERSEAS BANK – PEENYA BRANCH</u>			
7	270/2019	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.</p> <p>For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as ultimate chance.</p>	03.08.2021
8	274/2019	<p>Both the parties are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	03.08.2021

9	275/2019	Both the parties are absent. For Affidavit and production of original documents as last and final ultimate chance.	03.08.2021
10	276/2019	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as ultimate last chance.	03.08.2021
11	277/2019	Both the parties are absent. The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit. For Affidavit and production of original documents.	03.08.2021
12	278/2019	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. For return of notice or fresh steps against the Respondent as ultimate last chance.	03.08.2021
13	280/2019	Both the parties are absent. The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held	03.08.2021

		<p>that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
14	283/2019	<p>Both the parties are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	03.08.2021
15	284/2019	<p>Both the parties are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this</p>	03.08.2021

		<p>case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
16	285/2019	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.</p> <p>For return of Notice or fresh steps against the Respondent ultimate chance.</p>	03.08.2021
17	286/2019	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.</p> <p>For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as ultimate last chance.</p>	03.08.2021
18	287/2019	<p>Both the parties are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	03.08.2021
19	288/2019	<p>Both the parties are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held</p>	03.08.2021

		<p>that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
20	289/2019	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.</p> <p>For return of notice or fresh steps against the Respondent as ultimate chance.</p>	03.08.2021
21	290/2019	<p>Both the parties are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	03.08.2021
22	291/2019	<p>Both the parties are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held</p>	03.08.2021

		<p>that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	
23	292/2019	<p>Both the parties are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	03.08.2021
24	294/2019	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.</p> <p>For return of notice or fresh steps against the Respondent as ultimate chance.</p>	03.08.2021
25	295/2019	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.</p> <p>For return of notice or fresh steps against the Respondent ultimate chance.</p>	03.08.2021
26	296/2019	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.</p> <p>For return of notice or fresh steps against the Respondent as ultimate chance.</p>	03.08.2021

27	297/2019	<p>Both the parties are absent.</p> <p>The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give 5% rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	03.08.2021
28	201/2020	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.</p> <p>For return of notice or fresh steps against the Respondent as ultimate chance.</p>	03.08.2021
29	202/2020	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.</p> <p>For return of notice or fresh steps against the Respondent as ultimate chance.</p>	03.08.2021
30	203/2020	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner.</p> <p>For return of notice or fresh steps against the Respondent as ultimate chance.</p>	03.08.2021
<u>STATE BANK OF INDIA – SMECC, KORAMANGALA BRANCH</u>			
31	232/2020	<p>No representation on behalf of both the parties.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.</p>	29.07.2021
32	233/2020	<p>No representation on behalf of both the parties.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.</p>	29.07.2021

33	235/2020	No representation on behalf of both the parties. For Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.	29.07.2021
34	238/2020	No representation on behalf of both the parties. For Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.	29.07.2021
35	239/2020	No representation on behalf of both the parties. For Affidavit and production of original documents as last chance.	29.07.2021
36	245/2020	Both the parties are absent. The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give Rs.1,25,225/- rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondent is not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondent is not interested for settlement through conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit. For Affidavit and production of original documents.	28.07.2021
37	248/2020	Both the parties are absent. The Petitioner has made a proposal in the Petition itself and also through Notice for settlement by offering to give Rs.51,502/- rebate on the Petition claim. Keeping in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, that proposal appears to be just and reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they did not come forward to file Written Statement also. It appears that the Respondents-1 to 3 are not interested for settlement through	28.07.2021


		conciliation. As such, it is held that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit. For Affidavit and production of original documents.	
<u>INDIAN OVERSEAS BANK – RESIDENCY BRANCH</u>			
38	6/2021	Both parties are absent. Written Statement not filed. Settlement not reported. For Appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.	28.07.2021
<u>INDIAN OVERSEAS BANK – YALAHANKA BRANCH</u>			
39	24/2021	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. For return of notice of the Respondent or fresh steps as last and final chance.	28.07.2021
40	25/2021	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. For return of notice of the Respondent or fresh steps as last and final chance.	28.07.2021

Prepared by: Shivaleela M.G. - 

Verified by: Manjunatha K.N. - 

Srinath R.S. - 

**BY ORDER OF
The Chairman, Permanent Lok Adalat**


Sheristedar (I/c)